## **Appendix II: Secondary Factors Specific to Each Income Security Innovation, Created by GoS 1971-82**

Secondary Factor	Day	Day Care		FIP		SIP		ESP <sup>1</sup>		СВ
<u> </u>	Create	Mort/	Create	Mort/	Create	Mort/	Create	Mort/Sv	Create	Mort/
		Sv <sup>2</sup>		Sv		Sv				Sv
1. Ideology										
(101) The american a	f these in	novetions	hri o oo oid	l damaaa	otio govern	nmont du	ring the 10	700		
(101) The creation of likely. Strongly Disa										
Agree=5.	gree_r, D	risagree_2	, Neimer	uisagiee (	n agree_3	, Agree_4	i, Suongry	1		
Agree_J.										
(102) The abolition	of these in	novations	hv a Nec	liberal oc	vernment	during th	L e 1980s w	28		
likely. Very likely=5								as		
Strongly Disagree=1			•		•		•	=5.		
Strongly Blongree 1	Jungioe		l	l or agree	1	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	81) 118100			
(103) The ideology	of the ND	P governr	nent supp	orted thes	e innovati	ons at the	time thev	were		
created. Very well=5										1
Strongly Disagree=1						=4, Stron	gly Agree	=5.		
(104) The ideology of	f the Tory	governm	ent did no	ot support	these inno	ovations.				
Very opposed=5, Op							h in favou	ır =1		
Strongly Disagree=1										
(105) The NDP gov	ernment s	trictly foll	owed its i	deology i	n introduc	ing these	income se	curity		
innovations. <sup>3</sup> Strong										
Strongly Agree=5.							•			
(106) The Tory gove	ernment st	trictly foll	owed its i	deology i	n deciding	the fate of	of these			
innovations.4		·			_					
Very strongly=5, Str	ongly=4,	So-so=3,	Weakly-2,	, Very we	akly =1.					
Strongly Disagree=1	, Disagree	=2, Neith	er disagre	e nor agre	ee=3, Agre	ee=4, Stro	ngly Agre	e=5.		
(107) The ideologies	of the fed	leral and p	provincial	governme	ents were	very simil	lar at the t	ime of		
the creation/abolition			novation.	The same	=5, simila	r=4, some	what simi	lar=3,		
somewhat different=										
Strongly Disagree=1	, Disagree	=2, Neith	er disagre	e nor agre	ee=3, Agre	ee=4, Stro	ngly Agre	e=5.		
(108) The NDP gov										
the subject of the inn	ovation. S	Strongly D	isagree=1	l, Disagre	e=2, Neith	er disagre	ee nor agr	ee=3,		
Agree=4, Strongly A	gree=5.	_	_		,	1	_	1		
(109) The Tory gove										
ideological on the su			n. Strongly	y Disagree	e=1, Disag	gree=2, No	either disa	gree nor		
agree=3, Agree=4, S	tranalti A	graa-5							1	
agree_3, Agree_4, 3	Holigly A	gree-5.	1		,			•		
agree=3, Agree=4, 3	nongry A	gree_J.								

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Small then medium (small=\$2 million or less, medium=\$2 M -\$4M); large=>\$4M).

<sup>4</sup> See footnote 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Abbreviations: Mort/Sv: Mort=Mortality, Sv=Survival

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Berry, Ringquist, Fording and Harrison (1998) studied ideology in American states using public opinion polls and comparisons of party status in the Congress and the Presidency. Because Sask is a unified system (one legislature, Premier responsible to the Legislature), this approach is not available. Sask changes government regularly but tends to elect Tories to the federal Parliament.

Secondary Factor	Day Care		FIP		SIP		E	SP <sup>1</sup>	W	/CB
	Create	Mort/	Create	Mort/	Create	Mort/	Create	Mort/Sv	Create	Mort/
Total App. II		Sv <sup>2</sup>		Sv		Sv				Sv
+ Total App. I	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
How Important a										
Factor was										
Ideology? Sum										
App II + I										
•										
2. Politics	ı		ı	l	1	L	_1			
(110) There was a ch year=5 points or 2 ye	ars=4 poi	nts. Stron								
changes), Agree=4, S	urongry A	gree=5.					1			
(111) The government Strongly Disagree=1 Agree=5.								Strongly		
(112) There was federated Strongly Disagree=1 Strongly Agree=5.										
(113) There was fede Strongly Disagree=1 Strongly Agree=5.	eral fundin 8, Disagre	g for this e=2, Neit	innovation her disagr	on at the ti	me of the ree=3 (no	election a changes),	after that. Agree=4,			
(114) There was federelected (1982). Strongly Disagree=1.										
(115) There was federe-elected (1986). Strongly Disagree=1.										
(4.4.6) 779		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			l .		
(116) The politics of was created/abolished Strongly Disagree=1.	d/retained									
(117) This situation Strongly Disagree=1.						ee=4, Stro	ongly Agre	ee=5.		
(118) Federal funding conditions. Strongly Agree=5.										

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 1=One year before election won by NDP in 1975; 1=Tories made major cut-backs in 1986, just after an election they won in which planned cut-backs were not acknowledged.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 1=One year before election won by NDP in 1975; 1=Tories made major cut-backs in 1986, just after an election they won in which planned cut-backs were not acknowledged.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> 1=One year before election won by NDP in 1975; 1=Tories made major cut-backs in 1986, just after an election

they won in which planned cut-backs were not acknowledged.

8 1=One year before election won by NDP in 1975; 1=Tories made major cut-backs in 1986, just after an election they won in which planned cut-backs were not acknowledged.

Secondary Factor	Day	Care	F	TIP	S	SIP	E	SP <sup>1</sup>	W	CB
Ţ.	Create	Mort/	Create	Mort/	Create	Mort/	Create	Mort/Sv	Create	Mort/
	_	Sv <sup>2</sup>	+	Sv		Sv			<u> </u>	Sv
(119) There was fede	mal fundia	na fan thia	innovetic	n at tha t	ima of the	marrt muar	rimaial alas	tion	<u> </u>	
after the innovation v			iiiiovatio	m at the ti	ille of the	next prov	iliciai elec	tion		
Strongly Disagree=1,			or disagra	a nor agr	00-3 Agr	oo-1 Stro	naly Aare	no-5		
Strongly Disagree=1,	, Disagree	2—2, INCILII	T disagic		-3, Agr	1	nigly Agic	L_3.	1	
			+						1	
Total App. II										
From Appendix I:			1	1					1	
How Important a	<u> </u>		İ	İ						
Factor was Politics?										
3. External Support	•									
(120) The innovation	n was sub	ported by	the NDP/	Tories.	1	1	1	1		
Strongly Disagree=1,					ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ngly Agre	ee=5.		
(121) The innovation	had beer	introduce	ed by anot	ther gove	rnment.	L	1	<u>l</u>		
Strongly Disagree=1,						ee=4, Stro	ngly Agre	ee=5.		
		,			, 8-	,				
(122) The innovation						•	•	•		
Strongly Disagree=1,						ee=4, <u>Str</u> c	ngly Agre	ee=5		
(123) The issue/abol										
Strongly Disagree=1,	, Disagree	=2, Neith	er disagre	e nor agr	ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ngly Agre	e=5.		
(124) The innovation										
Strongly Disagree=1,	, Disagree	=2, Neith	er disagre	e nor agr	ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ngly Agre	e=5.		
Total External										
Support App. II										
From Appendix I:			-							
How Important a										
Factor was										
External Support? Mean										
1716411	-		<u> </u>	<del> </del>						+
		<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>						
4. The Economy										
(125) The economy	was grow	ing well (	over 3% r	per vear) v	when the i	nnovation	was	<u>I</u>		
created/abolished.	510 W			; i jear)						
Strongly Disagree=1,	, Disagree	e=2, Neith	er disagre	e nor agr	ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ngly Agre	ee=5.		
(126) The governme	nt was no	t in substa	antial non	-self finar	ncing debt	when the	innovatio	n was		
created/abolished.					-					
Strongly Disagree=	1, Disagr	ee=2, Nei	ther disag	ree nor ag	gree=3, Ag	gree=4, St	rongly Ag	ree=5.		
(127) The governmen							hen the			
innovation was create										
Strongly Disagree=1,	, Disagree	=2, Neith	er disagre	e nor agr	ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ngly Agre	e=5.		
Total App. II										
Economy										

Secondary Factor	Dav	Care	I	FIP	<u> </u>	SIP	E	SP <sup>1</sup>	W	СВ
•	Create	Mort/	Create	Mort/	Create	Mort/	Create	Mort/Sv	Create	Mort/
From Ann I	<del>                                     </del>	Sv <sup>2</sup>	<del>                                     </del>	Sv	1	Sv	<del> </del>		<u> </u> 	Sv
From App. I Economy:										
How Important a				+	+					
Factor was the										
Economy?										
•										
5. Resources		1	.1	_1		.1	I.	1		1
a) Financial Resour	ces									
(128) Funding for the		on kent u	n with the	cost of li	ving					
Strongly Disagree=1,						ee=4. Stro	ongly Agre	ee=5.		
Strongly Bisagree 1	, Disagree	2,110111	Ter disagre	T nor ugr	1 3,7151	1,540		30 3.		
(129) The budget wa	s near bal	ance.	.1	. 1	.1	.1		l		
Strongly Disagree=1.			ner disagre	ee nor agr	ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ongly Agre	ee=5.		
(130) The governme			ficant non	-self finar	ncing debt	when the	innovatio	n was		
introduced. [is this th										
Strongly Disagree=1,	, Disagree	=2, Neith	er disagre	ee nor agr	ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ongly Agre	e=5.		
(101) 771		<u> </u>	<u></u>	10.0	<u> </u>					
(131) The government			icant non-	-self -finai	ncing debt	when the	innovatio	n was		
abolished. [is this the			an diaaan			uaa—1 Stars		<b>5</b>		
Strongly Disagree=1.	, Disagree	=2, Neitr	ier disagre	e nor agr	$\frac{\text{ee}=5, Agr}{1}$	$\frac{\text{ee}=4, \text{Stro}}{1}$	ongly Agre	e=5.		-
(132) When the inno	vation w	as created	the gove	ernment d	id not have	e other ms	ior priorit	ies that		
were absorbing much				Amment u	d not nave	other ma	ijoi priorii	ics that		
Strongly Disagree=1.				ee nor agr	ee=3. Agr	ee=4. Stro	ongly Agre	ee=5.		
				T	Τ					
(133) When the inno	vation wa	s created.	the gover	rnment ha	d windfall	l revenues	(one year	, 1974-		
5).										
Strongly Disagree=1.	, Disagree	=2, Neith	ner disagre	ee nor agr	ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ongly Agre	ee=5.		
(134) When the inno								_		
Strongly Disagree=1.	, Disagree	=2, Neith	<u>ier disagre</u>	ee nor agr	ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ongly Agre	ee=5.		
(125) The immedia	n rrios £.11	v. f.,	unda:: 41: :	NDD		<u> </u>				<del>                                     </del>
(135) The innovation Strongly Disagree=1.						ree-1 Stre	naly A are	ne-5		
Sholigly Disaglee-1,	, Disagree	-2, INCILI	Tuisagit	T nor agr	T Agr	T 3110	nigiy Agit	<i>x−3.</i>		<del> </del>
(136) The innovation	n was full	v funded	under the	Tories			L	<u> </u>		<del>                                     </del>
Strongly Disagree=1,					ee=3. Agr	ee=4. Stro	ongly Agre	ee=5.		
		_, _, _,		T		1,200				
(137) The Innovation	n retained	its resou	rces after	5 years.			1			
Strongly Disagree=1.					ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ongly Agre	ee=5.		
(138) The Innovation						<del></del>				
Strongly Disagree=1.	, Disagree	=2, Neith	er disagre	ee nor agr	ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ngly Agre	ee=5.		
(100) ===		<u> </u>		<u> </u>						<del>                                     </del>
(139) The Innovation					2 1	4 6		-		
Strongly Disagree=1.	, Disagree	=2, Neith	er disagre	ee nor agr	ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ongly Agre	e=5.		<u> </u>
(140) TI I				20						<del>                                     </del>
(140) The Innovation					200-3 A c-	200-1 Star	naly A and	no-5		
Strongly Disagree=1.	, Disagree	;=∠, meitr	ier uisagre	e nor agr	ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	nigiy Agr€ 	ェ=ゝ. 		<del> </del>
		L			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	

	Day Care		FIP		SIP		ESP <sup>1</sup>		WCB	
	Create	Mort/ Sv <sup>2</sup>	Create	Mort/ Sv	Create	Mort/ Sv	Create	Mort/Sv	Create	Mort/ Sv
141) Sufficient info	rmation w	as availal	ble to trac	k the inno	vation. 9					
Strongly Disagree=1	, Disagree	e=2, Neith	ner disagre	e nor agre	ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ngly Agre	ee=5.		
Total App. II										
Financial										
Total App. I										
How Important a										
Factor Financial										
Resources										
b. Administrative Su	mnort									
(142) When created		the inno	vation wa	s large S	trongly D	isagree-1	Disagree			
Neither disagree nor					Tongry D	.5ug100-1,	, Disagice	-2,		
totalior disugree nor	<u>ugree-3,</u>	1 15100-4,	Judigiy	15100-3.						
(143) When the inno	ovations w	vere create	ed, the nee	eded infra	structure :	was funde	d/ provide	ed.		
Strongly Disagree=1										
	, z zagroc	2, 1,0111		1 451		1 ., 540	7.6.			
(144) When the inno	vations w	ere create	d the inn	ovations v	vere funde	ed for new	nositions	to		
deliver the innovation										
Agree=4, Strongly A		siy Disagi	CC=1, D13	agree=2,	i veither ai	sagree no	agree-3,			
rigice—1, brioligiy 11	gree-3.									
(145a) The organizat	ion had n	ot change	d recently	(within t	wo? Vear	s) (change	in name			
mandate, department										
Strongly Disagree=1				prior to in	ili oductio.					
	. Disagree	e=2. Neith	ier disagre	ee nor agre				ee=5.		
Shongly Disagree-1	, Disagree	e=2, Neith	ner disagre	e nor agre				ee=5.		
					ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ongly Agre			
(145b) Administrativ	e support	was an ir	nportant r	esource ir	ee=3, Agr	essful imp	ongly Agre lementation	on of the		
(145b) Administrativ innovation. Strongly	e support	was an ir	nportant r	esource ir	ee=3, Agr	essful imp	ongly Agre lementation	on of the		
(145b) Administrativ innovation. Strongly	e support	was an ir	nportant r	esource ir	ee=3, Agr	essful imp	ongly Agre lementation	on of the		
(145b) Administrativ innovation. Strongly Agree=5.	e support	was an ir	nportant r	esource ir	ee=3, Agr	essful imp	ongly Agre lementation	on of the		
(145b) Administrativinnovation. Strongly Agree=5.  Total App. II	e support	was an ir	nportant r	esource ir	ee=3, Agr	essful imp	ongly Agre lementation	on of the		
(145b) Administrativinnovation. Strongly Agree=5.  Total App. II From Appendix I:	e support	was an ir	nportant r	esource ir	ee=3, Agr	essful imp	ongly Agre lementation	on of the		
(145b) Administrativinnovation. Strongly Agree=5.  Total App. II From Appendix I: How Important a	e support	was an ir	nportant r	esource ir	ee=3, Agr	essful imp	ongly Agre lementation	on of the		
(145b) Administrativinnovation. Strongly Agree=5.  Total App. II From Appendix I: How Important a Factor was	e support	was an ir	nportant r	esource ir	ee=3, Agr	essful imp	ongly Agre lementation	on of the		
(145b) Administrativinnovation. Strongly Agree=5.  Total App. II From Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Administrative	e support	was an ir	nportant r	esource ir	ee=3, Agr	essful imp	ongly Agre lementation	on of the		
(145b) Administrativinnovation. Strongly Agree=5.  Total App. II From Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Administrative	e support	was an ir	nportant r	esource ir	ee=3, Agr	essful imp	ongly Agre lementation	on of the		
(145b) Administrativinnovation. Strongly Agree=5.  Total App. II From Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Administrative Support?	ve support Disagree:	was an ii =1, Disag	mportant r ree=2, Ne	esource ir	ee=3, Agr	essful imp	ongly Agre lementation	on of the		
(145b) Administrative innovation. Strongly Agree=5.  Total App. II From Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Administrative Support?  c. Was the innovatio	ve support Disagree:	was an ii =1, Disag	mportant rree=2, Ne	esource ir ither disag	ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ongly Agre lementation	on of the		
(145b) Administrative Innovation. Strongly Agree=5.  Total App. II From Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Administrative Support?  C. Was the innovation (146) The innovation	ve support Disagree: on fully in n was full	was an ii =1, Disag ==1, Disag plemente	mportant r ree=2, Ne  d? ckly staffe	esource ir ither disag	ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ongly Agre	on of the trongly		
(145b) Administrative innovation. Strongly Agree=5.  Total App. II From Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Administrative Support?  c. Was the innovatio (146) The innovatio	ve support Disagree: on fully in n was full	was an ii =1, Disag ==1, Disag plemente	mportant r ree=2, Ne  d? ckly staffe	esource ir ither disag	ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ongly Agre	on of the trongly		
(145b) Administrative innovation. Strongly Agree=5.  Total App. II From Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Administrative Support?  C. Was the innovation (146) The innovation Strongly Disagree=1	on fully in was fully in Disagree	was an ir =1, Disag  nplemente y and quie	mportant r ree=2, Ne  ded: ckly staffe eer disagre	esource ir ither disag	ee=3, Agr the succe gree nor a an 1.5 yrs ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ongly Agreelementation	on of the trongly		
(145b) Administrative innovation. Strongly Agree=5.  Total App. II From Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Administrative Support?  c. Was the innovation (146) The innovation Strongly Disagree=1	ve support Disagree: on fully in n was full , Disagree n was full	was an ii =1, Disag  uplemente y and qui e=2, Neith	mportant r ree=2, Ne  ed? ckly staffer er disagre ckly funde	esource ir ither disag	ee=3, Agr the succe gree nor a an 1.5 yrs ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ongly Agreelementation	on of the trongly		
(145b) Administrativinnovation. Strongly Agree=5.  Total App. II From Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Administrative	ve support Disagree: on fully in n was full , Disagree n was full	was an ii =1, Disag  uplemente y and qui e=2, Neith	mportant r ree=2, Ne  ed? ckly staffer er disagre ckly funde	esource ir ither disag	ee=3, Agr the succe gree nor a an 1.5 yrs ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ongly Agreelementation	on of the trongly		
(145b) Administrative innovation. Strongly Agree=5.  Total App. II From Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Administrative Support?  c. Was the innovatio (146) The innovatio Strongly Disagree=1 (147) The innovatio disagree nor agree=3	on fully in n was full to the sagrees of the sagree	was an ir =1, Disag  plemente y and qui =2, Neith y and qui 4, Strongl	mportant r ree=2, Ne  ed? ckly staffe mer disagre ckly funde y Agree=5	esource ir ither disag	an 1.5 yrs ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ongly Agreelementations are selected as a se	ee=5.		
(145b) Administrative innovation. Strongly Agree=5.  Total App. II From Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Administrative Support?  c. Was the innovation (146) The innovation Strongly Disagree=1 (147) The innovation disagree nor agree=3 (148) The innovation	on fully in n was full h, Disagree n was full s, Agree n retained	was an ii =1, Disag  nplemente y and qui =2, Neith y and qui 1, Strongl its fundii	mportant r ree=2, Ne  ed? ckly staffe mer disagre ckly funde y Agree=5	esource ir ither disag	an 1.5 yrs ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ongly Agreelementations are selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a se	ee=5.		
(145b) Administrative innovation. Strongly Agree=5.  Total App. II From Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Administrative Support?  c. Was the innovation (146) The innovation Strongly Disagree=1	on fully in n was full h, Disagree n was full s, Agree n retained	was an ii =1, Disag  nplemente y and qui =2, Neith y and qui 1, Strongl its fundii	mportant r ree=2, Ne  ed? ckly staffe mer disagre ckly funde y Agree=5	esource ir ither disag	an 1.5 yrs ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ongly Agreelementations are selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a se	ee=5.		
(145b) Administrative innovation. Strongly Agree=5.  Total App. II From Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Administrative Support?  c. Was the innovation (146) The innovation Strongly Disagree=1 (147) The innovation disagree nor agree=3 (148) The innovation	on fully in n was full n was full A, Agree=4 n retained 4, Strong	was an ir =1, Disag  nplemente y and qui e=2, Neith y and qui 4, Strongl its fundir	mportant r ree=2, Ne  ed?  ckly staffe ner disagre  ckly funde y Agree=5  mg. Strong 5.	esource ir ither disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disagned disa	ee=3, Agr the succe gree nor a  an 1.5 yrs ee=3, Agr ly Disagre	ee=4, Stroessful imp gree=3, A	ongly Agreelementations are selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a selected as a se	ee=5.		

\_

 $<sup>^9</sup>$  Social Services innovations were well documented; organizational information was found in government budget estimates and Social Services annual reports. The WCB innovation was not well documented.

Secondary Factor	Day	Care	F	TIP	S	IP	E	SP <sup>1</sup>	W	CB
·	Create	Mort/	Create	Mort/	Create	Mort/	Create	Mort/Sv	Create	Mort/
1:	1	Sv <sup>2</sup>	1	Sv		Sv				Sv
disagree nor agree=3	, Agree=4	i, Strongly	/ Agree=5	). 	1	I	1			
(150) The imment		a £.11	and'	1-1 21	mant = 1 1:		1 (****	thuas		
(150) The innovative		•			•		,			
years). Strongly Disa Agree=5.	agree=1, 1	Disagree=	z, Neimei	ruisagree	nor agree	=5, Agree	=4, Suon	gıy		
Agree=3.										
Total										
Implementation										
App. II										
Total										
Implementation										
from Appendix I:										
How Important a										
Factor was Full							1			
Implementation?										
d. Employee Suppor										
(151) SS personnel s	supported				isagree=1.	Disagree	=2, Neith	er		
disagree nor agree=3	, Agree=4	l, Strongly	Agree=5	5.						
(152) Personnel wer							ongly Dis	agree=1,		
Disagree=2, Neither	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong	gly Agree=	=5				
(153) Employees per										
administrative areas.	Strongly	Disagree=	1, Disagr	ee=2, Ne	ither disag	ree nor ag	gree=3, Ag	gree=4,		
Strongly Agree=5										
(154) Personnel wer	e well trea				salary incr	eases. Str	ongly Dis	agree=1		
Disagree=2, Neither							$\mathcal{C}$	agree-1,		
-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong				ugicc-i,		
	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong				ugree-1,		
	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong				ugree-1,		
Total Employee	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong				ugree-1,		
Total Employee Support App. II	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong				,		
Total Employee Support App. II Total from	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong				ugree=1,		
Total Employee Support App. II Total from Appendix I:	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong						
Total Employee Support App. II Total from Appendix I: How Important a	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong						
Total Employee Support App. II Total from Appendix I: How Important a Factor was	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong						
Total Employee Support App. II Total from Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Employee	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong						
Total Employee Support App. II Total from Appendix I: How Important a Factor was	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong						
Total Employee Support App. II Total from Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Employee Support?	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong						
Total Employee Support App. II Total from Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Employee Support?  Resources App II	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong						
Total Employee Support App. II Total from Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Employee Support?  Resources App II App. I	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong						
Total Employee Support App. II Total from Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Employee Support?  Resources App II App. I Total Resources	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong						
Total Employee Support App. II Total from Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Employee Support?  Resources App II App. I	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong						
Total Employee Support App. II Total from Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Employee Support?  Resources App II App. I Total Resources	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong						
Total Employee Support App. II Total from Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Employee Support?  Resources App II App. I Total Resources Mean	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong						
Total Employee Support App. II Total from Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Employee Support?  Resources App II App. I Total Resources Mean  5) Effects	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong						
Total Employee Support App. II Total from Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Employee Support?  Resources App II App. I Total Resources Mean  5) Effects a. Efficacious	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong						
Total Employee Support App. II Total from Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Employee Support?  Resources App II App. I Total Resources Mean  5) Effects a. Efficacious Appendix I	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree	=4, Strong						
Total Employee Support App. II Total from Appendix I: How Important a Factor was Employee Support?  Resources App II App. I Total Resources Mean  5) Effects a. Efficacious	disagree r	nor agree=	3, Agree:	=4, Strong						

Secondary Factor	Dav	Care	I	FIP	S	SIP	E	SP <sup>1</sup>	W	CB
•	Create	Mort/	Create	Mort/	Create	Mort/	Create	Mort/Sv	Create	Mort/
1 7111		Sv <sup>2</sup>		Sv		Sv				Sv
b. Did it reduce pove	rty?									
Appendix I		<u> </u>			1			1		<u> </u>
Total reduced										
poverty										
D. 1 ., C 10:11	1.0		+	+	1			-		1
c. Did it fulfill its god			<u> </u> 	1: -4-:1: - ( '	_ :	11.1.1	_:			1
(155) The innovation			uea in red	istributing	g income t	oy subsidi	zing the p	oor		
through increased pay			har diasa	00 no= 0==		00-1 St	nalu A	na- <b>5</b>		
Strongly Disagree=1,	Disagree	∠, Neiti	ler disagre	ie nor agr	ee=5, Agr	ee=4, Sirc	nigiy Agr€ 	::=3. 		
(156) The innerestica	r'a abaliti	ion/rotant	ion guaga	ded in re	distribution	g income	by orboid	izing the		
(156) The innovation poor through expande			ion succee	sueu in re	uistridutin	g income	by subsidi	izing the		
Strongly Disagree=1,	_	•	her dicagr	ee nor agr	ree-3 Aar	ee-1 Stro	noly Agra	e-5		
Strongly Disagree-1,	Disagree	,— <u>2, 1</u> 10111	lor disagit	T nor agr	Agr		nigiy Agit	~_ <i>3</i> .		<del>                                     </del>
Goals App. II				+	+					<del>                                     </del>
App. I				+	+			1		
Total Goals			+	+	+			1		<u> </u>
I our Jours			+	+	1			1		
d. Were the innovation	ons respe	ctful of c	lients?	+	1					
App. I	s respec			+						
Grand total				1						
respectful										
1 3				1						
	I			1						
e. Unwanted side effe	ects									
(157) The innovation 2003 <sup>10</sup> ).		ot a magr	net for the	poor in o	ther provii	nces (Berr	y, Fording	g, Hanson,		
Strongly Disagree=1,	Disagree	e=2, Neitl	her disagro	ee nor agr	ee=3, Agr	ee=4, Stro	ongly Agre	ee=5.		
<i>5 ,</i>		, , , , ,			7 8-	,	<i>U, B</i> -1			
(158) The innovation	ns did not	act as a	disincentiv	ve to worl	ζ.	1	1	1		
Strongly Disagree=1,						ee=4, Stro	ongly Agre	ee=5.		
			T	T						
(159) The innovation	reduced	work dis	incentives	š.		•	•		•	
Strongly Disagree=1,	Disagree	=2, Neitl	her disagre	ee nor agr	ree= <u>3,</u> Agr	ee=4, Stro	ongly Agre	ee=5.		<u> </u>
Total App II										
Total unwanted										
side effects										
Total Effects App.										
II										
Total Effects										
Appendix I:										
How Important a	i l									
-	1 1	1								
Factor was Effects?										

Source: Eleanor D. Glor

N/A= Not applicable, Cr=create; recipients were seniors (>65 years old).

Note: This questionnaire was completed by the Author, who worked in central agencies and line departments for the GoS during the Blakeney government and has conducted research on this government since then.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 10}$  Data for all the measures used by these authors could not be found for Sask.

## **References:**

Berry, William D., Evan J. Ringquist, Richard C. Fording, & Russell L. Hanson. 1998. Measuring Citizen and Government Ideology in the American States, 1960-93. American Journal of Political Science, 42(1): 327-48.

<sup>\*</sup> Neither seniors nor severely injured workers are expected to work. The WCB assesses injured workers' capacity to work and supports them to do so. This process is completed before an injured workers' pension is approved.