

« Letter to A. and A. (About moving on, moving through...) »

Vera Frenkel

Intermédialités : histoire et théorie des arts, des lettres et des techniques / Intermediality:

History and Theory of the Arts, Literature and Technologies, n° 6, 2005, p. 143-177.

Pour citer ce document, utiliser l'information suivante :

URI: <http://id.erudit.org/iderudit/1005511ar>

DOI: 10.7202/1005511ar

Note : les règles d'écriture des références bibliographiques peuvent varier selon les différents domaines du savoir.

Ce document est protégé par la loi sur le droit d'auteur. L'utilisation des services d'Érudit (y compris la reproduction) est assujettie à sa politique d'utilisation que vous pouvez consulter à l'URI <http://www.erudit.org/apropos/utilisation.html>

Érudit est un consortium interuniversitaire sans but lucratif composé de l'Université de Montréal, l'Université Laval et l'Université du Québec à Montréal. Il a pour mission la promotion et la valorisation de la recherche. Érudit offre des services d'édition numérique de documents scientifiques depuis 1998.

Pour communiquer avec les responsables d'Érudit : erudit@umontreal.ca

Letter to A. and A.

(About moving on, moving through ...)

VERA FRENKEL

I: FIRST...

143

Early in preparing this dossier, it was thought that my art historian colleagues Anne Bénichou and André Habib would be interlocutors for the project and that together we would create a conversation in print exploring the issue of “remediation” as it informs my work. What the reader will receive instead are my informal notes, without critique or embellishment.

And yet, the truth is that it’s nearly impossible for me to talk about my work.

Not only because the projects travel and each different venue requires that the work be physically reconfigured;

not only because the conceptual climates into which the work is received are in constant flux;

not only because the media I place in combination and allow to interrogate each other invite destabilizing contradictions;

and not only because once an exhibition is over, the work disappears.

All of which is no more true for me, of course, than it is, intentionally or not, for contemporary artists in general. But the most elusive aspect of my practice, the characteristic that is both its strength and its nemesis resides in the transitions that occur as a work migrates from one medium into another, reemerging, like any mischievous shape-shifter, as something else.

Most of the time I don’t give much thought to this process. It is so familiar that I can’t imagine working in a less fluid way. But in the context of the theme I have been invited to consider (in a journal, after all, devoted to tracking the elusive and the inchoate), I will try to unfold for you my practice of many years regarding both its processes of remediation and some reference to what persists of the work’s essence despite media-imposed transformations.

When considering these transformations in the bars and the conference rooms where such thoughts are aired, a particular cluster of questions is being asked with increasing persistence:

– Should new media works be “preserved” via sequential migration into ever more current forms, or just carefully documented?

– Should they, as I wondered aloud at a recent public discussion on new media histories, be allowed simply to disappear?

– At what stage does this choice become an issue of aesthetic euthanasia, knowing how to keep a work alive (or preserved as if alive, i.e., embalmed with skill), versus accepting the release of the artwork into memory?

– And who will oversee the triage?

144

I will leave to experts the resolution of this issue. I raise it here only because I collide with it at every turn and thought I might reduce its power by first revealing its insistence, and then by asking you to agree with me that all culture is in some sense a form of “broken telephone;” a child’s circle game, each player whispering into the ear of the next, hoping the other hears what was said and is able and willing to pass it on.

With respect to remediation, it is not only the child beside us in the circle that provides the listening ear, or—no less metaphorically—the next generation that receives and somehow transmits, but with the arrival of each new technological discovery at exponentially increasing speed, the imperative of “speaking” into it, assuming that it will pause long enough to “hear” and convey what it has heard.

* *
*

For valid reasons the conversation with my two gifted art history colleagues has not yet taken place, though I understand that it will happen, as many things do nowadays, *pace* the threat of power overload, online.

Professor Bénichou has written with great insight on my earlier work and Editor Habib has the responsibility of bringing this talking fish, me, the guest artist, onto dry land and into focus. I look forward to their interventions, traversing the surfaces of the Möbius strip that is the relation between artist, scholar and reader, in a process that will take my thinking into unpredictable directions.

In the meantime, being neither writer, nor art historian, I can offer only some personal notes on what I do and perhaps what I was thinking at the time of making this or that work. It’s a start.

Since these notes were written as one side of a discussion triangle with Anne and André in mind, their presence informs the way I wrote, and, as context for the accompanying images, I have retained the preliminary thoughts I sent them.¹

Here, then, is what I wrote.

1. Some months after this letter to Anne and André was written, while I was in Kingston, I had the pleasure of meeting Alain Depocas, Head of Research at the Fondation Daniel Langlois, and in the course of the conversation learned about *Permanence through Change: The Variable Media Approach*, the bilingual anthology he has edited with John Ippolito and Caitlin Jones (Guggenheim Museum Publications, 2003) on precisely the issue of remediation. I wish I'd known of this sooner. It is a timely and perceptive amalgam of current thinking on the very issues I struggled to discern and express, and a valuable resource. I won't re-attempt a more informed account of the serial media migration in my work, but simply want to signal that being more attuned to the research will make a more informed account possible.

2: Instead...

Dear Anne, dear André,

146

Thinking about aspects of my work that indicate remediation or what is often referred to as “media migration,” and of the technical and conceptual trajectories involved, two instances come to mind.

On the first, and simplest, level of movement from one medium to another:

– A completed installation (*The Screening Room*, Minneapolis, 1983) serves as *mise-en-scène* for the next production, a videotape (*The Last Screening Room*, Toronto, 1984);

– A voice-over text for the “cargo-cult” passage in a performance work (*Mad for Bliss*, Toronto, 1989), becomes the narrative armature for a videotape (*This Is Your Messiah Speaking*, Newcastle, 1990), which in turn transmutes into an animation sequence for the Piccadilly Circus Spectacolor Board (*Messiah Speaking*, London, 1991).



147





A second level of migration concerns the fate of a given thematic focus as it moves through a sequence of media, governed by the parameters of each in turn. The issue is how this focus survives through a linked and layered process of migration that resembles not so much a daisychain or palimpsest, but rather a kind of double helix, the theme inhabiting one spiral, the changing form the other, with the two strands interdependent. Something survives; something changes, and forces of chance help to bind these elements into a new entity from which emanates the uncanniness of an apparent but indescribable family resemblance.

149

Whether the journey traced is from performance to videotape to computer animation, as described above, or from video installation, storytelling and music to photography, printmaking, and text, as will be described below, the ways in which concepts and imagery find new meanings while retaining their essences remain intriguing.

The *Messiah Project*, with its focus on the so-called “cargo-cult” at the core of the romance of consumerism, went through three distinct shifts before opening out into another work entirely: “...from the *Transit Bar*,” a six-channel videodisk installation and functional piano bar built for *documenta IX* (Kassel, 1992), where consideration of the costs of false consciousness were this time expressed through accounts of personal migration and loss told by fourteen of my friends. The *Transit Bar*’s interwoven video narratives address issues of displacement, deracination, and the learning/unlearning of cultural memory. It is a work haunted by awareness of the power over one’s fate that can be wielded

by a bureaucrat or functionary under a totalitarian regime, especially a regime with “cargo-cult” tendencies attached to the mantra of “a thousand years of bliss,” a mantra that characterizes certain ritual practices from Papua New Guinea to Third Reich Germany.

On my return to Canada from Kassel, trans-media shifts continued with the exhibition *Raincoats, Suitcases, Palms* (A.G.Y.U., Toronto, 1993) which included installations such as *Recovering Memory* (containing the palm trees, bar rails, suitcases and Disklavier from the *documenta Transit Bar*), and *Journey* (a suite of three ten-foot long photo-murals interweaving *Transit Bar* imagery and props with related visual material on bereavement). The exhibition catalogue



was twinned with an artist's book, *The Bartender's Report*, a distillation into a kind of prose poetry of the themes of migration and loss permeating these works.

A year later, when art historian and curator Sigrid Schade invited me to create a site-specific work for the exhibition *Andere Körper (Other Bodies)*, Linz, 1994), I found myself in residence at a former Wehrmacht prison that housed the Offenes Kulturhaus in Linz (later the OK Centrum für Gegenwartskunst, the main centre for contemporary art in Upper Austria).

151

While researching the history of the city of Linz and still mindful of the power of a document or rubber stamp, or



its absence, to affect one's life, I encountered two main signifiers: *Linzer torte* (a famous local pastry) and Adolf Hitler (an infamous native son). More recently there has also evolved in Linz the Ars Electronica Festival, a prestigious international gathering of new media theorists and practitioners (a fine showcase for the results of inspiration, perhaps, but hardly its source). The impact on Linz of the new Ludwig Boltzmann Institute™ on media research, practice and conservation (scheduled to open next fall under the direction of Dr. Dieter Daniels, currently Professor of Art History and Media Theory, Academy of Visual Arts, Leipzig) remains to be seen.

152

I tasted the *torte* of course, and also walked the few blocks from the Offenes Kulturhaus on the Dametzstrasse to where



that street becomes the Humboldtstrasse. There, gazing up at the apartment block where young Adolf had lived with his mother and sister, and seeing the row of sex shops and hearing-aid centres that then occupied the building's ground floor, I made my decision. How could I resist (I thought at the time) reflecting a milieu with such a history that now ministers to the horny and the deaf? Hitler was clearly a more compelling subject than was the pastry.

The resulting multi-channel video work, *Body Missing*, was installed throughout the exhibition spaces, stairwells and front façade of the OK, and was later extended via the Internet (www.yorku.ca/BodyMissing) into a web-based installation. Two related image-text projects followed, and, with the help of the Goethe-Institut Toronto, six large digital

153





154

På genomresa ...
...Passing through the town
Auf der Durchreise durch die Stadt...
En traversant la ville ...

Opening page of *Body Missing* website

photo-murals were added, one for each video station, for the Detroit and Toronto *Body Missing* installations in 2000.

The conceptual beginnings of this work began in Vienna, when I was visiting artist at the Akademie der bildende Künste, and I saw in the exhibition *Kunst und Diktatur* (Art and Dictatorship) a *Grundriss* or floorplan sketched by Hitler in his bunker for the unrealized Führermuseum that he fantasized building in Linz. Seeing the drawing resonated with my accidental discovery of corridors of dusty art crates at the Akademie. A phone conversation with Norbert Schweizer, then production manager at the Offenes Kulturhaus, resulted in the assignment of a camera team to Vienna, and the work began.

I remembered a long-ago conversation about Linz with a dear friend, the late Dr. Alan Powell, a sociologist, who surprised me at the time with his expertise on the Third Reich. Alan was still alive when I began to research the complex bureaucratic procedures that were devised under the clandestine *Sonderauftrag Linz* (Special Assignment, Linz) which facilitated the theft from all of Europe of artworks destined for the proposed museum which Hitler imagined would outshine in scale and importance the Uffizi or the Louvre. I looked forward to reporting back to Alan what I had discovered, but he was already ill with the infection caused by what later came to be identified in Canada as the Red Cross “tainted blood scandal.”

155

© ... THE SENSE PERSISTS OF ...



This (or any bar) will do, as long as the drinks are the way you like them,



the bartender's a listener, and the sense persists



of something compelling beyond these comforts.

The Bartender's Story

Svenska **S** Deutsch **D** Français **F**

*"They meet at the **bar** where I **work**. At least two of them are artists. One of the women is quite old. Somebody said she was Paula Scholl (Polly), the brilliant art restorer.*



"Our other regular patrons are the local taxi drivers. We're too far from the station to be their main meeting place. But for the foreign drivers, the Transit Bar is a favourite mid-town hangout. They know everything about those political meetings: where they're held, when, who attends, for how long ...


*"Nights when I'm on duty alone, I can work my way from one side of the bar where the talk is of suspicious late-night meetings, to the other side and rumours of **artworks** originally destined for the unrealized Hitlermuseum. The same story, sort of."*



With this in the background, my increased awareness of the bureaucratic Kunstpolitik procedures alerted me to similar tropes closer to home and helped to shape a subsequent project, but in the meantime, the *Sonderauftrag Linz* underscored, in my view, the ways in which life, death and art were measured, documented and processed in that city during the Third Reich, ostensibly for cultural purposes. Madness is madness whether in the service of the health-system or of art.

158 Even given that knowledge, I nevertheless could not have predicted the chaotic and neglected state of the section of the city archives which housed the many drawings, models and photographs of this *Lieblingsprojekt* of Hitler's, as if in silent resistance to the power and the order that the material had once represented.

Although originating as a transient, site-specific work, *Body Missing* has travelled since to museums and art galleries on four continents, returning to Austria twice (installed at the OK again in 1996; and at the Georg Kargl Gallery, Vienna, 2001-2). Plans by art historian and museologist Gottfried Flied to install a new version of this work at the Sigmund Freud Museum in Vienna developed instead into an installation at the Freud Museum in London, 2003, where the project was the focus of *From Theft to Virtuality: Considerations of the Meaning of Absence*, an international conference chaired by art historian Griselda Pollock at the Institute of Contemporary Art, London.



step by step to reconstruct the art
that is missing, from memory.

Netscape: and they had their histories ...


The Piano Players



From time to time a new piano player arrives. It's not so simple. There's a long history to contend with. The Transit Bar [regulars](#) remember in detail everyone who has played for more than one night.

There are piano player stories, told at the bar whenever certain artists gather. Some of the stories change as they're told, but [here's](#) what we know:-





Body Missing is now again in Vienna where gallerist Georg Kargl is planning to place the work permanently. "This work must remain in Austria," he has said, "this is where the work belongs." At the moment, the *Body Missing* crates, safely stored chez Kargl, resemble uncannily those that I had seen in the basement corridors of Vienna's Akademie der Bildende Künste



ten years earlier, a discovery that, together with the Hitler 4:00 a.m. bunker drawing, a long-ago conversation with a friend, and Sigrid Schade's invitation, came together with the production support of the OK to initiate the work.

Adaptations of *Body Missing* to different host venues, its translation into four languages and into cyberspace, and later still into digital photo-murals integrating details from earlier versions, and into image-text pieces (in *Kunst als Beute*, Eds. Sigrid Schade, Gottfried Friedl,

Body Missing,
migrating.

FACING PAGE, TOP:
Offenes Kulturhaus, Linz,
1994: Partial view, façade,
inaugural version of six-
channel video project.

FACING PAGE, BOTTOM:
Goeteborg Konstmuseum,
1998, (Station 6.) The OK
windows have become
coffin-sized light-boxes.

THIS PAGE:
Centre culturel canadien,
Paris, 2001. Video
sequences are transmuted
into photo murals, one for
each station, and the *Body
Missing* website is now a key
part of the installation.

Photo: Christian Lebrun, CCC,
Paris.





Turia & Kant, Vienna, 2000) and *Alphabet City (A Tangled Triangle: Strands of the Archive-Rhizome*, “Lost in the Archives” issue, 2002) mark this work’s capacity for migration.

The word “migration” used in this context is almost topographical, and does not connote the change in meaning that accompanies a change of medium, the transformative





aspects of that process. Nevertheless, there's perhaps something to be said for the aura of healthcare that attaches in English to the term “remediation:” a rehabilitation or pharmaceutical nuance, suggesting that the shift from one medium to the next, despite inevitable losses of meaning, can also promise certain benefits as if with each change the art work is *remedied*, i.e., mended and improved.



Lest this seem overly cheerful, now is the time, perhaps, to invoke the name of Marshall McLuhan, whose presence in Toronto contributed to the formative climate of ideas I found myself in as a young artist after leaving Montréal. McLuhan came of age as a public intellectual in Toronto and remains to this day a strong, if somewhat misperceived and grudgingly appreciated presence in that city. What is relevant to this discussion is of course his assertion that each new medium ingests its predecessor, a notion increasingly substantiated by the thrall to new media that abounds, and the technical and moral quagmires this introduces.

While further migrations between different iterations of *Body Missing* are always possible, another kind of transition, as suggested above, informs the relation between that work in all its forms and my subsequent (and still ongoing) project:

The Institute™: Or, What We Do for Love, a Web-based, multi-disciplinary project on the travails of a dysfunctional cultural institution, includes site-specific physical components, digital prints, brass policy plaques, a website (the-national-institute.org) and “Dreadful Songs.” I am currently planning three short videotapes based on the *Social Workers’ Reports* from the website. In addition to its online development, the project in its physical entirety has traveled to three major venues in Canada, with two additional condensed versions installed in Toronto as well (April, 2004; September 2005). A second phase of the tour is in its planning stages (<http://www.the-national-institute.org/tour>).

Just as the seeds of my interest in art theft policies could be found in the previous two cycles of work (the *Messiah*



Two of the six *Body Missing* photo-murals, one for each video station, designed for the Detroit (Wayne State University Gallery), Toronto (Goethe-Institut) and Paris (Canadian Cultural Centre, 2001) versions. The video having migrated to DVD, *Body Missing* was now a web-based DVD installation with light-boxes and photo-murals.





Project which centered on the false consciousness informing the romance of consumerism, and “...*from the Transit Bar*,” which considered the costs and consequences of human migration), the desire to address other forms of cultural bureaucracy in *The Institute*TM emerged from discoveries made while working on *Body Missing* and, as described, researching the activities and delusions around the proposed Führermuseum.

Not surprisingly, each version of *The Institute*TM, as it responded to the nature and resources of the relevant site,



was quite different. Looking for props and furniture elements in Sudbury, for example, a legendary nickel-mining town in Northern Ontario, we found ourselves in basements carved directly out of dark pre-cambrian rock, looming jagged and glistening with mineral content. In these strange cave-like spaces under otherwise quite routine buildings, we discovered that the Sudbury of a certain era had a fondness for the colour turquoise. Filing cabinets, office chairs, desks, psychiatrist's couch all appeared in the same heightened aqua-blue. The Sudbury *Institute*TM, therefore, had to have a blue room, and indeed it had, where visitors could relax on the psychiatrist's



From the Freud Museum, London to the Georg Kargl Gallery, Vienna; *Body Missing*, the video-photo-Web work on art theft as cultural policy is again in the country where it was created, safely stored in the Gallery's vaults.



Photos: Liddy Scheffknecht, Georg Kargl Gallery





couch and listen to the “Dreadful Songs” composed for the project on subjects ranging from the masks of bureaucratic language to fantasies of escape from mindless office routine, to the absence of responsible leadership. (Song titles: *No One’s in Charge*, *Weird Language*, *It’s a Job*, *That’s all*).

Aside from such unforeseen material-culture epiphanies, *The Institute*TM continues to provide a ship-of-fools template onto which images, lyrics and narratives can be built. Situated at a nexus of the mutual interrogation between documentary and fictional modes, as are many of my works, there are multiple points of entry and once inside the work, a network of optional paths. The resulting oscillations and the perceptual climate they create provide a home for uncertainty.



I think this large and changing project will accompany me for some time, as did *Body Missing*, *Messiah Speaking*, and earlier work cycles, each representing in a sense a core syntax rather than words, which I suppose may be axiomatic in works of this kind.

The latest *remediation*? After completing the recording of the full cycle of the seven “Dreadful Songs”—instrumentation and vocal harmonies have been recorded for the first three—and after completing the website’s German version which is now in production, and the three short videotapes of the *Social Worker’s Reports*, there will be a pause for a new image-text work into which elements from the whole will be distilled. This will require immersion in the contradictions,

ambivalences and dialectics of *The Institute*TM and its various unfortunate models in the real world.


As I write this, I see that implicit in this project is a hopeful assumption that it is possible to govern and be governed well, and to create a society in which art in all its forms can be acknowledged as the engine that it is. In the meantime, the corollary task is to reflect the far less hopeful conditions that actually exist.

172

The calcifying impact of certain forms of cultural bureaucracy aren't the only forces destructive to art and to well-being, though often the least visible. Floods, studio moves, divorces, deaths and clumsy de-accessioning procedures are powerful editing processes. In an inevitable, organic and unpredictable process, some artworks survive, others don't.

The next migration of *The Institute*TM may be towards creating or recreating projects as imagined by its residents, artworks which have been lost or dispersed for lack of support or of space in which to display or safeguard them. If I place myself among the semi-fictive artists in *The Institute*TM and from that position realize their lost works, I would be acknowledging and giving form to the arbitrariness of what survives, as well as continuing a pattern which began with *String Games: Improvisations for Inter-City Video*, (Montréal-Toronto, 1974), and which also informed other works — including those discussed here — a pattern of involving colleagues and friends in working confirmation of the inevitability of change.

The National Institute for the Arts



ANNUAL REPORT

Chairman
Director and CEO



Dr. Benjamin Wayne



Charles Flaskett



Cynthia Marinelli



Edouard Lacroix



Derek Eisen



Dr. Irma O'Connor



Mary-Jo Simpson



Jayne M. Farnsworth



Walker Duchesne



Painter, male, 51 years old, casual dresser, dedicated abstractionist. Prefers gin to scotch.




Film-maker, female, 57, works in the documentary tradition of John Grierson. Currently on location.



Landscape artist, 59, male. Sculpts earth. Re-designing the access route to The Institute™-Hamilton.

Detail of frame capture from *The Institute™: Or, What We Do for Love* website.

Annual Report page showing current Members of the Board, each provided with a pop-up biography.

A photograph of a room. In the foreground, a dark wooden chair is partially visible, its back to the camera. In the background, two light blue chairs are positioned near a window with sheer curtains. A small potted plant sits on a surface between the chairs and the window. The lighting is soft, coming from the window.

So, A. and A.,
those are my passing thoughts
for the moment. Thank you for
your invitation and your interest.

With best wishes,
Vera Frenkel
(20 XI 05)



Légendes des images

PAGE 147

Top

The Screening Room, multidisciplinary installation, 1983, for viewing the videotape, "Stories from the Front (& the Back)" [Western Front, 1981], partial view. Gallery, Minneapolis College of Art & Design, Mpls. Curator: Diane Shamash.

Centre

The Screening Room, partial view, MCAD Gallery, 1983. Photo: Rik Sferra.

Bottom

The Last Screening Room: A Valentine, videotape, 1984. R.T. 44 minutes. Video still from sequence shot in the 1983 Minneapolis installation. On camera: Vera Frenkel, Rik Sferra.

PAGE 148

Top

This Is Your Messiah Speaking, video installation, two-channel version, Newcastle, U.K., 1990.

Centre

Messiah Speaking, computer animation, Piccadilly Circus Spectacolor Board, London, England. 1990-91, Artangel Trust Commission. Opening frame of animated sequence as seen from the roof of the London Pavilion.

Bottom

"There's No Rush," frame from *Messiah Speaking*, Piccadilly Circus Spectacolor Board, London. 1990-91. Photo: Harry Chambers, The Artangel Trust.

PAGE 150

"... from the Transit Bar," partial view, six-channel videodisk installation and functional piano bar, *documenta IX*, 1992, Kassel. Curator: Denys Zacharopoulos. Photo: Dirk Bleicker.

PAGE 151

Recovering Memory, partial view, audio installation using reconfigured *Transit Bar* elements and Disklavier, 1993, Art Gallery of York University, Toronto. Curator: Loretta Yarlow. Photo: Isaac Applebaum.

PAGE 152

"... from the Transit Bar," partial view, 1994-95, Power Plant Gallery of Contemporary Art, Toronto. Photo: Isaac Applebaum.

PAGE 153

"... from the Transit Bar" partial view, National Gallery of Canada, Ottawa, 1996. Curator: Jean Gagnon. Photo: Charles Hupé, National Gallery.

PAGE 154

From the *Body Missing* web site (www.yorku.ca/BodyMissing), 1995
Opening page

PAGE 155

The *Transit Bar*, now fictively in Linz

PAGE 156

Top

Site map

Bottom

Hitler's collection and the 1937 Great Art Exhibition

PAGE 157

The bartender's story

PAGE 159

Top

1938 map of Linz; subtitle of narrative

Bottom

The piano-players' page

PAGE 160

Top

Body Missing, six-station video-photo-text installation, 1994. Detail of front façade, Offenes Kulturhaus, Linz, Austria. Inaugural version for *Andere Körper* exhibition and symposium. Curator: Sigrid Schade.

Bottom

Body Missing, detail of installation, Station 6: “The Process of Redemptive Naming Begins/ *Début du processus nominatif rédempteur*,” Göteborg Konstmuseum, Sweden. Last stop on Riksställningar tour, 1997-98. Curators: Ulla Arnell, Tom Sandqvist.

PAGE 161

Body Missing, detail, Video stations 1 and 2: “Reconciliation with the Dead / *Réconciliation avec la mort*” and “Recalling the Benign World of Things / *Rappel de la bienveillance du monde des choses*”. Light boxes, photo-murals, website. Canadian Cultural Centre, Paris. Curator: Cathérine Bédard. Photo: Christophe Lebrun.

PAGE 162-163**Top**

Body Missing, partial view, DVD installation, Station 5: “Athena’s Polished Shield / *Le bouclier brillant d’Athéna*,” and website projection. Georg Kargl Gallery, Vienna, 2002. Photo Kargl Gallery.

Bottom

Body Missing, partial view, Canadian Cultural Centre, Paris 2001. Photo: Christophe Lebrun

PAGE 165**Top**

Body Missing, detail, photo-mural for Station 4: “The Apparatus of Marking Absence / *L’appareil à marquer l’absence*,” 4’ x 6’, 2000.

Bottom

Body Missing, detail, photo-mural for Station 6: “The Process of Redemptive Naming Begins / *Début du processus nominatif rédempteur*,” 4’ x 6’, 2000.

PAGE 166

Body Missing, detail, Station 2, in Freud’s study, Freud Museum, London, 2003. Full museum DVD installation; subject of “*Body Missing: From Theft to Virtuality*,” Symposium, I.C.A., London, March 21–22, 2003.

PAGE 167

Body Missing, detail. Station 4, overlooking the mezzanine, Freud Museum, London, 2003.

PAGE 168-169

Body Missing, in storage at the Georg Kargl Gallery, Vienna.

Photo: Liddy Scheffknecht, Georg Kargl Gallery.

PAGE 170

The Institute™: Or, What We Do for Love, web-based multidisciplinary installation. Partial view, showing Screen 2. Justina M. Barnicke Gallery, Hart House, University of Toronto, 2003.

PAGE 171

The Institute™: Or, What We Do for Love, web-based multidisciplinary installation. Partial view, showing Screen 3 (near camera on left) and Screen 1 (far right). Project Manager: Mark Jones. Curator: Sandra Dyck. Photo, David Barbour, 2005.

PAGE 173**Top**

The Institute™: Or, What We Do for Love, website frame capture (www.the-national-institute.org). Detail of Board of Directors page, 2003 and ongoing.

PAGE 174-175

The Institute™: Or, What We Do for Love, detail: The Blue Room, for listening to the “Dreadful Songs.” Art Gallery of Sudbury, 2004.